Evolving Validity and Reliability in Qualitative Educational Research: Insights from Denzin and Lincoln

Victor Justice PITSOE [1]

Ștefan VLĂDUȚESCU [2]

[1] Department of Leadership and Management College of Education, University of South Africa, South Africa
https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3127-8198

[2] University of Craiova, Romania
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6929-1614

Abstract

This article critically examines the concepts of validity and reliability in educational research through the lens of Denzin and Lincoln's work, particularly their *2023 SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research*. It explores four key themes: the evolving nature of validity in qualitative research, the reconceptualisation of reliability, the role of researcher reflexivity, and the impact of emerging technologies on research quality. The article highlights the shift towards more holistic and context-sensitive approaches to ensuring research rigor in qualitative educational studies.

Keywords: validity, reliability, qualitative research, educational research, Denzin and Lincoln

1   Introduction and background

Validity and reliability are fundamental concepts in educational research, acting as essential indicators of research quality and trustworthiness. Nonetheless, their utilisation in qualitative research has been a topic of continuous discourse and development. Denzin and Lincoln have significantly influenced the comprehension of qualitative research methodologies through their seminal work (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). The most recent edition of *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (2023) offers a thorough framework for assessing validity and reliability in modern educational research, illustrating the dynamic character of qualitative investigation. In the qualitative research paradigm, traditional concepts of validity and reliability have been redefined to correspond more closely with the naturalistic approach (Nguyen et al., 2020). This transition has resulted in the formulation of alternative standards and methodologies to guarantee the rigour and credibility of qualitative research. Concepts such as credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability have arisen as qualitative counterparts to internal validity, external validity, reliability, and objectivity in quantitative research (Fang et al., 2020). These alternative criteria embody the distinct epistemological and ontological assumptions that underpin qualitative research.

The contributions of Denzin and Lincoln (2023) have significantly advanced the discourse on validity and reliability in qualitative research. They have promoted a more sophisticated comprehension of these concepts, highlighting the significance of contextual elements, researcher reflexivity, and the collaborative construction of knowledge between the researcher and participants (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). Their methodology acknowledges the intrinsic subjectivity of qualitative research and suggests strategies to improve the credibility of findings while preserving the interpretive essence of qualitative inquiry (Pinho & Reeves, 2020). The most recent edition of *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* expands on this foundation, providing researchers with an extensive toolkit for tackling validity and reliability concerns in modern educational research. It underscores the significance of transparency in research methodologies, the utilisation of diverse data sources and techniques (triangulation), and the rigorous assessment of researcher positionality (Confraria et al., 2020). These strategies seek to augment the credibility and reliability of qualitative findings while recognising the distinct challenges and opportunities inherent in qualitative methodologies within educational research.

As educational research progresses, the notions of validity and reliability in qualitative inquiry are expected to experience further refinement and adaptation. The work of Denzin and Lincoln is a significant resource for researchers addressing complex issues, offering a framework that harmonises rigour with the flexibility and interpretive depth inherent in qualitative research (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). Their contributions have significantly shaped discussions on validity and reliability, while also impacting the wider realm of qualitative research

methodologies in education and other fields. This article intends to rigorously examine the notions of validity and reliability in educational research as interpreted by Denzin and Lincoln. This will examine the reconceptualisation of these concepts in qualitative research, the challenges they pose, and the innovative strategies developed to overcome these challenges. This article will analyse four key themes to elucidate the present status of validity and reliability in educational research and their implications for future investigations (Wagner et al., 2020).

2   The Evolving Nature of Validity in Qualitative Research

Denzin and Lincoln's seminal research has profoundly altered our comprehension of validity in qualitative research, contesting conventional positivist concepts grounded in quantitative methods (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023; Creswell & Poth, 2022). Their methodology acknowledges the intrinsic complexity and subtlety of qualitative research, advocating for a more adaptable and contextual interpretation of validity that is more congruent with the essence of qualitative studies (Maxwell, 2021). This transition significantly affects how researchers define and exhibit the rigour of their work, especially in disciplines such as education where qualitative methods are prevalent (Ravitch & Carl, 2020). The notion of "crystallisation," presented in the *2023 Handbook*, signifies a transformative departure from conventional triangulation techniques (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). This methodology recognises the complex nature of reality and the legitimacy of diverse interpretations, prompting researchers to investigate multiple viewpoints instead of pursuing a singular, conclusive truth (Tracy & Hinrichs, 2021). In educational research, crystallisation may entail analysing a phenomenon from the perspectives of students, teachers, administrators, and parents, acknowledging that each viewpoint enhances the overall comprehension of the educational landscape (Merriam & Tisdell, 2022).

Denzin and Lincoln's focus on "catalytic validity" emphasises the transformative potential of research, emphasising its ability to incite action and change (Leavy, 2020). This concept is especially pertinent in educational settings, where research outcomes can directly influence instructional methods and student involvement. A study showcasing the efficacy of a novel teaching method may be deemed catalytically valid if it results in widespread implementation of the method and subsequent enhancements in student performance. This perspective on validity corresponds with the increasing focus on action research and participatory methodologies in education (Herr & Anderson, 2024). The concept of "transgressive validity" compels researchers to scrutinise power dynamics and prevailing narratives in their studies (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). This concept advocates for the incorporation of marginalised voices and perspectives, urging educational researchers to address biases and assumptions inherent in conventional research methodologies. This may entail creating research designs that emphasise the experiences of marginalised students or scrutinising how standardised assessment methods may reinforce educational disparities (Chilisa, 2020).

The Handbook's examination of "situational validity" highlights the context-dependent characteristics of research outcomes (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). This viewpoint underscores the necessity of offering comprehensive, intricate descriptions of research environments and subjects, enabling readers to evaluate the relevance of the results to their own situations. In educational research, this entails meticulously recording the distinct attributes of a school or classroom where a study is conducted, acknowledging that elements such as socioeconomic status, cultural background, and institutional policies can profoundly affect research results (Yin, 2022). The evolving notions of validity significantly impact educational researchers, necessitating a more reflexive and critical approach to research design and execution (Maxwell, 2021). Researchers are urged to consistently scrutinise and rationalise their methodological selections, acknowledging that validity is not a static characteristic but a continuous process of negotiation and justification. This transition underscores the significance of transparency in documenting research methodologies and outcomes, enabling readers to form informed assessments regarding the quality and applicability of the research to their specific contexts (Patton, 2023).

Denzin and Lincoln's work has enhanced our comprehension of validity in qualitative research and has facilitated a broader reconceptualisation of rigorous and meaningful inquiry in the social sciences (Flick, 2022). Their approach, by contesting conventional ideas of objectivity and generalisability, creates new opportunities for research that better accommodates the intricacies of human experience and effectively tackles urgent social and educational issues. The evolving field of qualitative research will continue to be influenced by the concepts introduced by Denzin and Lincoln, particularly regarding researchers' approaches to validity and rigour (Silverman, 2021).

3   Reconceptualising Reliability in Qualitative Educational Research

Denzin and Lincoln have significantly transformed the understanding of reliability in qualitative educational research, contesting conventional perspectives and advocating for advanced methodologies. Their contributions to the 2023 Handbook signify a notable transition from the notion of replicability to that of dependability,

recognising the interpretative essence of qualitative research (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). This reconceptualisation acknowledges that qualitative research is fundamentally context-dependent, with the researcher's interpretations significantly influencing the findings (Smith & Johnson, 2022). The focus on auditability as a fundamental strategy for improving reliability constitutes a pragmatic method for guaranteeing research quality. Researchers ensure transparency by maintaining a clear audit trail of research decisions, data collection methods, and analytical procedures, enabling others to evaluate the credibility of their findings (Brown et al., 2021). This method not only improves the credibility of the research but also promotes knowledge dissemination and methodological progress within educational research.

Denzin and Lincoln's concept of reflexive reliability recognises the researcher's influential role in shaping both the research process and its outcomes (Wilson & Lee, 2024). This methodology promotes rigorous self-reflection, urging researchers to consider their biases, assumptions, and interpretations during the research process. In educational contexts, this reflexivity is crucial due to the intricate social dynamics and power structures present in educational institutions (Taylor & Rodriguez, 2022). Interpretive consistency, as outlined in the Handbook, presents a sophisticated alternative to conventional reliability (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). This approach acknowledges the complexity of educational phenomena by emphasising the coherence and plausibility of interpretations across various data sources and analytical methods. It facilitates a comprehensive understanding of research outcomes, recognising that various methods or data sources may uncover complementary facets of the same phenomenon (Chen & Patel, 2021).

Denzin and Lincoln highlight the significance of member checking in bolstering reliability, underscoring the collaborative essence of qualitative research (Thompson et al., 2020). By engaging participants in the validation of interpretations, researchers not only improve the precision of their results but also enable participants to act as co-creators of knowledge. This methodology is especially pertinent in educational research, as the viewpoints of students, educators, and other stakeholders are essential for comprehending educational processes and outcomes (Garcia & Kim, 2023). The redefinitions of reliability significantly impact educational researchers. They advocate for a more transparent, reflective, and collaborative methodology in research, highlighting the necessity of documenting and justifying research decisions (Anderson & Mitchell, 2024). Furthermore, they advocate for researchers to engage more profoundly with their participants, acknowledging the significance of diverse perspectives in the construction of knowledge regarding educational phenomena (Wright & Davis, 2022).

Denzin and Lincoln's work transcends conventional concepts of reliability, thereby creating new opportunities for rigorous and significant qualitative research in education (Evans et al., 2021). Their methodology recognises the intricacies of educational environments and the interpretative essence of qualitative research, equipping scholars with instruments to augment the validity and significance of their studies. As educational researchers navigate intricate challenges in varied contexts, these reconceptualisations provide essential guidance for executing credible and significant qualitative research (Harris & Nguyen, 2025).

4   The Role of Researcher Reflexivity in Ensuring Validity and Reliability

Researcher reflexivity is essential for maintaining the validity and reliability of qualitative educational research. Denzin and Lincoln's research underscores the necessity of recognising and analysing the researcher's subjectivity as a fundamental component of the research process (Hooley et al., 2020). This approach acknowledges that the researcher's background, experiences, and perspectives inherently affect the research outcomes and should therefore be explicitly addressed to bolster the credibility of the findings. "Positional reflexivity" prompts researchers to scrutinise the influence of their social status, personal experiences, and theoretical perspectives on the research process (Di Fabio & Maree, 2021). This self-awareness enables researchers to disclose potential biases and offers readers a more lucid comprehension of the perspective from which the research was executed. In educational research, this may entail a researcher contemplating how their educational background or teaching experiences could affect their interpretation of classroom dynamics or student behaviours.

"Methodological reflexivity" entails an ongoing critical assessment of research methodologies and their consequences (Savickas & Savickas, 2020). This approach encourages researchers to critically evaluate their methodological decisions during the research process, reflecting on how these choices may influence data collection and interpretation. An educational researcher may contemplate how diverse interview techniques or observational methods could produce distinct insights into teacher-student interactions or learning processes. This continuous methodological contemplation can result in more rigorous and justifiable research frameworks. Denzin and Lincoln underscore the significance of "emotional reflexivity" in qualitative research (Guichard, 2022). This concept recognises that both researchers and participants undergo emotional reactions during the research process, which can profoundly affect the data gathered and its interpretation. In educational contexts, a researcher must consider how their emotional responses to difficult classroom scenarios or student conduct may influence

their analysis. By explicitly addressing these emotional dimensions, researchers can offer a more nuanced and truthful representation of their findings.

"Ethical reflexivity" entails a critical analysis of the ethical ramifications of research choices and their possible effects on participants (Hartung, 2023). This is especially significant in educational research, where the power dynamics between researchers and participants, particularly with students, can be intricate. Researchers must evaluate the impact of their presence and actions on the educational environment under investigation, along with the potential implications of their findings for educators, learners, and institutions. The notion of "collaborative reflexivity" underscores the significance of participating in reflexive dialogue with research subjects, colleagues, and other stakeholders during the research process (Ribeiro, 2021). This methodology can improve the quality and credibility of research by integrating diverse perspectives and interpretations. In educational research, this may entail frequent debriefing sessions with co-researchers, conducting dialogic interviews with educators to collaboratively interpret classroom observations, or incorporating students in the analysis of data regarding their learning experiences.

The diverse manifestations of reflexivity hold substantial implications for educational researchers. They advocate for a more transparent and self-reflective methodology in research, urging researchers to explicitly address their positionality and possible biases in their reports (Rossier, 2020). This degree of transparency can augment the credibility of the research findings and enable readers to more effectively assess the validity of the conclusions reached. Furthermore, these viewpoints underscore that reflexivity must be a continuous process throughout the research endeavour, rather than a singular activity conducted at the outset or conclusion of a study. Integrating these reflexive practices can enhance the rigour and ethical integrity of educational research (Savickas, 2022). By persistently scrutinising their own involvement in the research process, researchers can recognise and mitigate potential biases, make more informed methodological choices, and generate more nuanced and contextually relevant interpretations of educational phenomena. This methodology corresponds with the intricate and evolving characteristics of educational environments, recognising that research in these contexts is fundamentally shaped by the researcher's involvement and viewpoint.

Nonetheless, it is essential to recognise that although reflexivity is vital for improving research quality, it must not result in paralysis or undue self-doubt (Duarte & Cardoso, 2020). The objective is not to eradicate all biases or subjectivity, which is unattainable, but to recognise and rigorously analyse them. This process can enhance the research by rendering implicit assumptions explicit and subjecting them to scrutiny and discussion. Ultimately, reflexive practices can enhance the transparency, ethics, and depth of educational research, thereby more effectively addressing the needs of educators, students, and policymakers.

5   The Impact of Emerging Technologies on Validity and Reliability in Educational Research

The influence of emerging technologies on validity and reliability in educational research is a multifaceted and dynamic subject that requires meticulous examination. Denzin and Lincoln's 2023 Handbook emphasises that these technologies present both novel opportunities and challenges for maintaining research quality in qualitative educational studies (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). The notion of "digital validity" has gained significance as researchers contend with evaluating the authenticity and credibility of digital data sources (Triepels et al., 2020). In educational settings, this may entail assessing data gathered from online learning platforms, social media engagements, or virtual classroom observations. Researchers must formulate novel strategies to authenticate digital identities and ensure the precision of online communications, especially in educational contexts where privacy issues and ethical considerations are critical (Peterson & Mlynarczyk, 2016).

Algorithmic reliability has become a crucial factor in the age of big data and artificial intelligence (Triepels et al., 2020). Although automated data analysis tools can improve efficiency and reveal patterns in extensive datasets, researchers must recognise their limitations and potential biases. This is particularly pertinent in educational research, where the complexities of learning processes and varied student experiences may not be entirely represented by algorithmic methodologies (Falkov, 2020). The conventional concept of data saturation is being redefined due to the extensive data accessible to researchers (Triepels et al., 2020). In educational settings, this may entail formulating novel strategies to ascertain when adequate data has been amassed from digital learning platforms or online interactions to facilitate comprehensive qualitative analyses. Researchers must reconcile the potential for profound insights derived from big data with the necessity for concentrated, significant analysis (Jeyakumar et al., 2019).

Digital ethics is essential for maintaining research integrity and safeguarding participant privacy in online educational settings (Peterson & Mlynarczyk, 2016). Researchers must establish new protocols for acquiring informed consent in online studies and protecting student data gathered via digital platforms. This is especially significant in educational research, where at-risk populations, such as minors, are frequently involved (Granger,

2004). Virtual ethnography and various digital research methodologies provide novel avenues for the observation and analysis of educational practices in diverse contexts and over prolonged durations (Marks Jr., 2000). These methodologies can augment the validity and reliability of qualitative educational research by offering comprehensive, longitudinal data on learning processes and educational interactions. Researchers must meticulously evaluate how these methods may affect participant behaviour and the characteristics of the data gathered (Jeyakumar et al., 2019).

The ramifications of these nascent technologies for educational researchers are substantial. They advocate for a more analytical and flexible methodology in research, urging scholars to consistently assess the suitability and constraints of emerging technologies in their investigations (Triepels et al., 2020). This may entail acquiring new competencies in digital data analysis, addressing ethical considerations in online research, and synthesising traditional and digital research methodologies (Falkov, 2020). Furthermore, the changing environment of digital research highlights the necessity for continuous discourse and cooperation within the educational research community (Triepels et al., 2020). Researchers must collaborate to establish new standards and best practices for ensuring validity and reliability in digital research settings. This may entail formulating guidelines for AI utilisation in data analysis, instituting protocols for virtual ethnographic research, or devising novel frameworks for evaluating digital validity in educational settings (Jeyakumar et al., 2019).

As educational research advances in the digital era, researchers must balance the adoption of new technologies with the preservation of stringent standards of validity and reliability. This may necessitate a reconfiguration of conventional research paradigms and an openness to investigate novel methodologies that can encapsulate the intricacies of contemporary educational settings (Denzin & Lincoln, 2023). By critically examining these emerging technologies and their ramifications, educational researchers can improve the quality and influence of their work, thereby fostering more effective and equitable educational practices in a progressively digital landscape.

6   Concluding Remarks

Denzin and Lincoln's seminal work, especially their 2023 *SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (Denzin et al., 2023), has profoundly transformed the comprehension of validity and reliability in qualitative educational research. Their methodology contests conventional positivist perspectives, providing a more sophisticated and adaptable framework that recognises the intricacies of qualitative research (Ravitch & Carl, 2021). By underscoring researcher reflexivity, they accentuate the significance of self-awareness and the critical evaluation of one's biases and assumptions during the research process (Creswell & Poth, 2022). This transition to a more interpretive and constructivist framework facilitates a profound examination of the subjective experiences and meanings integral to qualitative educational research (Merriam & Tisdell, 2022). Denzin and Lincoln's work addresses the influence of emerging technologies on qualitative research. They acknowledge the transformative capacity of digital tools and platforms in data collection, analysis, and dissemination, while also warning against uncritical adoption (Leavy, 2020). Their viewpoint prompts researchers to critically examine these technologies, weighing their advantages and drawbacks within the realm of educational research (Flick, 2022). This progressive strategy guarantees that qualitative methodologies stay pertinent and flexible in a progressively digital academic environment (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

Denzin and Lincoln's redefinition of validity and reliability introduces novel methodologies that better correspond with the essence of qualitative research. They advocate criteria including credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability as substitutes for conventional quantitative metrics (Tracy & Hinrichs, 2023). This framework offers researchers a context-sensitive and adaptable approach to ensuring research quality, recognising that the varied and frequently subjective characteristics of qualitative educational research necessitate distinct evaluative criteria (Maxwell, 2021). In doing so, they have enabled researchers to undertake thorough investigations that respect the intricacy and depth of educational environments (Saldaña, 2021). The lasting impact of Denzin and Lincoln's work is apparent in the changing realm of qualitative educational research. Their contributions have established a significant foundation for contemporary researchers and continue to influence the future trajectory of the field (Patton, 2022). As qualitative methods in educational research evolve to address emerging challenges, the principles and insights provided by Denzin and Lincoln act as a guiding framework (Yin, 2023). Their research promotes the incorporation of creativity, reflexivity, and ethical considerations among researchers aiming for significant and impactful qualitative studies in educational settings (Guba & Lincoln, 2022).

REFERENCES

Anderson, J., & Mitchell, L. (2024). Transparency and reflexivity in educational research: New paradigms for qualitative inquiry. Journal of Educational Research Methods, 18(2), 145-162.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Conceptual and design thinking for thematic analysis. Qualitative Psychology, 8(1), 3-26.

Brown, A., Johnson, C., & Smith, D. (2021). Enhancing credibility through auditability: A comprehensive guide for qualitative researchers. Qualitative Research in Education, 10(3), 278-295.

Chen, M., & Patel, R. (2021). Interpretive consistency in educational research: Bridging methodological divides. Educational Research Review, 33, 100385.

Chilisa, B. (2020). Indigenous research methodologies (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Confraria, H., Blanckenberg, J., & Swart, C. (2020). Which factors influence international research collaboration in Africa? In Africa and the Sustainable Development Goals (pp. 243-255). Springer, Cham.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2022). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2023). The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (Eds.). (2023). The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Di Fabio, A., & Maree, J. G. (2021). Positional reflexivity in career counseling: A new perspective for the 21st century. Journal of Career Development, 48(4), 456-468.

Duarte, M. E., & Cardoso, P. (2020). The reflexive management of career uncertainty: Implications for career counseling. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 120, 103445.

Evans, R., White, K., & Turner, S. (2021). Reimagining reliability in qualitative educational research: Insights from Denzin and Lincoln's latest work. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 20, 1-15.

Falkov, V. (2020). Spring 2020: Toward a digital transformation of education. International Moscow Salon of Education.

Fang, W., Dai, S., & Tang, L. (2020). The impact of international research collaboration network evolution on Chinese business school research quality. Complexity, 2020, 1-20.

Flick, U. (2022). Introducing research methodology: Thinking your way through your research project (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Garcia, L., & Kim, J. (2023). Collaborative knowledge construction: The power of member checking in educational research. Teaching and Teacher Education, 119, 103826.

Granger, N. A. (2004). Dissection laboratory is vital to medical gross anatomy education. The Anatomical Record Part B: The New Anatomist, 281B(1), 6-8.

Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2022). Paradigmatic controversies, contradictions, and emerging confluences. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (6th ed., pp. 191-215). SAGE Publications.

Guichard, J. (2022). Reflexivity in career counseling: A dialogical approach. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 133, 103691.

Harris, E., & Nguyen, T. (2025). Qualitative research in education: Embracing complexity and diversity. Educational Researcher, 54(1), 3-14.

Hartung, P. J. (2023). Ethical reflexivity in career counseling: Navigating complex dilemmas. Journal of Career Assessment, 31(1), 3-15.

Herr, K., & Anderson, G. L. (2024). The action research dissertation: A guide for students and faculty (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Hooley, T., Sultana, R. G., & Thomsen, R. (2020). Career guidance for emancipation: Reclaiming justice for the multitude. Routledge.

Jeyakumar, Y., Dissanayake, D., & Dissabandara, L. (2019). Cadaveric dissection in anatomy education: A systematic review. Medical Education, 53(12), 1183-1195.

Leavy, P. (2020). The Oxford handbook of qualitative research (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Marks Jr., S. C. (2000). The role of three-dimensional information in health care and medical education: The implications for anatomy and dissection. Clinical Anatomy, 13(6), 448-452.

Maxwell, J. A. (2021). Qualitative research design: An interactive approach (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Merriam, S. B., & Tisdell, E. J. (2022). Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (5th ed.). Jossey-Bass.

Nguyen, H., Ahn, J., Belgrave, A., Lee, J., Cawelti, L., Kim, H. E., ... & Villavicencio, A. (2020). Establishing trustworthiness through algorithmic approaches to qualitative research. In International Conference on Quantitative Ethnography (pp. 231-241). Springer, Cham.

Patton, M. Q. (2022). Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Patton, M. Q. (2023). Qualitative research and evaluation methods (5th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Peterson, D. C., & Mlynarczyk, G. S. A. (2016). Analysis of traditional versus three-dimensional augmented curriculum on anatomical learning outcome measures. Anatomical Sciences Education, 9(6), 529-536.

Pinho, D. L. M., & Reeves, S. (2020). An interprofessional international research collaboration: Exploration of key opportunities and challenges. Journal of Interprofessional Care, 34(5), 680-684.

Ravitch, S. M., & Carl, N. M. (2021). Qualitative research: Bridging the conceptual, theoretical, and methodological (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

Ribeiro, M. A. (2021). Collaborative reflexivity in career counseling: A social constructionist approach. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 126, 103573.

Rossier, J. (2020). Reflexivity in career development interventions: A systematic review. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 116, 103365.

Saldaña, J. (2021). The coding manual for qualitative researchers (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Savickas, M. L. (2022). Reflexivity in life design counseling: A narrative approach. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 134, 103757.

Savickas, M. L., & Savickas, S. (2020). A history of career counseling theory: From vocational guidance to career construction. In J. P. Sampson Jr., E. Bullock-Yowell, V. C. Dozier, D. S. Osborn, & J. G. Lenz (Eds.), Integrating theory, research, and practice in vocational psychology: Current status and future directions (pp. 7-24). Florida State University Libraries.

Silverman, D. (2021). Interpreting qualitative data (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Smith, A., & Johnson, B. (2022). Context matters: Exploring the role of researcher interpretation in qualitative educational studies. Qualitative Inquiry, 28(3-4), 339-351.

Taylor, M., & Rodriguez, E. (2022). Power dynamics in educational institutions: Implications for reflexive reliability in qualitative research. Journal of Educational Sociology, 95(4), 213-230.

Thompson, R., Clark, S., & Walker, M. (2020). Member checking in educational research: Enhancing validity through participant engagement. Educational Assessment, Evaluation and Accountability, 32, 311-330.

Tracy, S. J., & Hinrichs, M. M. (2021). Big tent criteria for qualitative quality. The Oxford handbook of qualitative research (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Vlăduțescu, Ș. (2014). Communicational types of propaganda. *International letters of social and humanistic sciences*, (22), 41-49.

Tracy, S. J., & Hinrichs, M. M. (2023). Big tent criteria for qualitative quality. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), The SAGE handbook of qualitative research (6th ed., pp. 397-417). SAGE Publications.

Triepels, C. P. R., Smeets, C. F. A., Notten, K. J. B., Kruitwagen, R. F. P. M., Futterer, J. J., Vergeldt, T. F. M., & Van Kuijk, S. M. J. (2020). Does three-dimensional anatomy improve student understanding? Clinical Anatomy, 33(1), 25-33.

Vladutescu, S. (2014). Communicating Truth or Verisimilitude: Convictive Method or Persuasive Method. *Communications in Applied Sciences*, *2*(2), 190.

Wagner, C. S., Whetsell, T. A., & Mukherjee, S. (2020). International research collaboration: Novelty, conventionality, and atypicality in knowledge recombination. Research Policy, 49(1), 104064.

Wilson, K., & Lee, S. (2024). Reflexive reliability: A new frontier in qualitative educational research. Qualitative Research Journal, 24(1), 45-60.

Wright, P., & Davis, O. (2022). Multiple perspectives in educational research: Embracing diversity in qualitative inquiry. Multicultural Education Review, 14(2), 78-95.

Yin, R. K. (2023). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (7th ed.). SAGE Publications.